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ABSTRACT: Half-metals have been envisioned as active components in spintronic devices by virtue of their completely spin-polarized electrical currents. Actual materials hosting half-metallic phases, however, remain scarce. Here, we predict that recently fabricated heterojunctions of zigzag nanoribbons embedded in two-dimensional hexagonal boron nitride are half-semimetallic, featuring fully spin-polarized Dirac points at the Fermi level. The half-semimetallicity originates from the transfer of charges from hexagonal boron nitride to the embedded graphene nanoribbon. These charges give rise to opposite energy shifts of the states residing at the two edges, while preserving their intrinsic antiferromagnetic exchange coupling. Upon doping, an antiferromagnetic-to-ferromagnetic phase transition occurs in these heterojunctions, with the sign of the excess charge controlling the spatial localization of the net magnetic moments. Our findings demonstrate that such heterojunctions realize tunable one-dimensional conducting channels of spin-polarized Dirac fermions seamlessly integrated into a two-dimensional insulator, thus holding promise for the development of carbon-based spintronics.
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Graphene nanoribbons (GNRs)—few-nanometer-wide strips of sp²-bonded carbon atoms—are promising components for next-generation nanoscale electronics,12 because of their sizable energy gap,13 superior charge transport, and facile integration into short-channel field-effect transistors.8–11 GNRs can be fabricated in an atomically precise fashion,12 leading to a rich spectrum of edge geometries13,14 and electronic phases15–19. Of particular interest are zigzag graphene nanoribbons (ZGNRs),20 owing to their magnetically ordered ground state21–23 that can be engineered through charge doping24 or electric fields,25,26 lattice deformations,27,28 or chemical functionalization of the edges.29–31 The combination of controllable π-electron magnetism with a long spin coherence time at room temperature22,33 renders ZGNRs suitable building blocks for spin logic operations.34

In contrast to the wide application of graphene5–38 and other atomically thin crystals,39,40 the integration of GNRs into complex junctions remains relatively unexplored. It is limited to in-plane homojunctions17 resulting from the lateral connection of graphene nanoribbons with distinct widths41–44 or heteroatom incorporations.45,46 Recently, progress has been made through the realization of heterojunctions consisting of one-dimensional graphene nanoribbons of target chirality, including ZGNRs, embedded into a continuous two-dimensional hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) sheet.46,49 The fabrication of such ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions is accomplished in two steps.49 First, nanotrenches along the zigzag direction are carved in hBN through nickel-nanoparticle-catalyzed cutting. Second, these trenches are filled with ZGNRs obtained through chemical vapor deposition. The integrated growth of ZGNRs into hBN offers an effective route to achieve ultrathin, large-scale nanocircuitry. Yet, the prospect of employing these heterojunctions in real devices requires a detailed understanding of their functionalities. Earlier theoretical works have shown that crystallographically aligned40 or misaligned superlattices42 and interfaces52 of graphene and hBN nanoribbons may feature half-metallicity,53,54 which is attributed to the electronic states localized at the boundary between the constituent materials. Though instructive, the adopted computational models are, however, insufficient to provide a realistic description of the recently fabricated graphene nanoribbons embedded in hBN.

In this paper, we investigate zigzag graphene nanoribbons embedded in a two-dimensional sheet of hexagonal boron nitride (hBN)
nitrile on the basis of ab initio and mean-field Hubbard Hamiltonian calculations. We show that such ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions are half-semimetallic, as the semiconducting behavior in one spin orientation is accompanied by a Dirac semimetallic behavior in the other, while preserving the intrinsic antiferromagnetism of ZGNRs. This unconventional combination of spin-split, Dirac half-semimetallicity and antiferromagnetism stems from the charge transfer at the interfaces between the magnetic edges of the nанорibbon and the hexagonal boron nitrile. Charge doping can further modulate the electronic structure of the heterojunctions by enforcing a ferrimagnetic ground state and enabling precise control over the spatial localization of magnetic moments. Thus, these nanoarchitectures realize one-dimensional conducting channels of spin-polarized Dirac fermions embedded within a two-dimensional insulator, opening new avenues for the exploration of spintronic devices based on graphene.

Our ab initio calculations are based on semilocal density functional theory (DFT). The computational details are provided in Supporting Notes S1 and S2. For comparison, we begin by recalling the electronic properties of hydrogen-terminated zigzag graphene nanoribbons, the atomic structure of which for a representative width of 1.4 nm is given in Figure 1a. ZGNRs possess width-independent π-electron magnetic moments of 0.27 μ₀ per unit cell that are localized at the edge of the carbon atoms. The magnetic moments align parallel along each edge of the nanoribbon and antiparallel across opposite edges. The interedge antiferromagnetic exchange coupling, obtained as the difference in energy between parallel and antiparallel spin orientations, is 3.64 meV. In line with Lieb’s theorem for the repulsive Hubbard model on a bipartite lattice at half filling, 55 this leads to a spin-zero ground state, as evident from the spin-density pattern shown in the inset of Figure 1c. The antiferromagnetic ordering of the edge-localized magnetic moments in ZGNRs results in the spin-degenerate band structure shown in Figure 1b, which exhibits a direct band gap. As reported in Figure 1c, the magnitude of the energy gap decreases monotonically as the width of the nanoribbon increases due to the weakening of the confinement effects. Hence, ZGNRs are semiconducting and antiferromagnetic regardless of their width.

We next consider heterojunctions of zigzag graphene nanoribbons with the same width of 1.4 nm embedded in hexagonal boron nitrile. Because of the structural topology of the ZGNR, its incorporation into hBN enforces a connectivity pattern such that the carbon atoms along opposite edges of the nanoribbon are covalently bonded to boron and nitrogen atoms, as seen in Figure 1d. The hBN matrix does not modify the spin density distribution, where edge-localized magnetic moments retain an antiparallel alignment that leads to zero net magnetization, as shown in the inset of Figure 1f. Compared to hydrogen-terminated ZGNR, the magnetic moment is lowered to 0.19 μ₀ per unit cell, with the antiferromagnetic exchange coupling reduced to 2.41 meV. The electronic band structure of the ZGNR/hBN heterojunction is qualitatively different from that of hydrogen-terminated ZGNR. In particular, the ZGNR/hBN heterojunction exhibits the spin-split band structure shown in Figure 1e, where the α-spin electrons are semimetallic and β-spin are semiconducting. The crossing of the α-spin energy bands forms Dirac points at the Fermi level, with a corresponding Fermi velocity of 1.2 × 10⁵ m/s, approximately one order of magnitude lower than that of monolayer graphene. 56 This is the hallmark of Dirac half-semimetallicity 37 which, as shown in Figure 1f, is insensitive to

Figure 1. Dirac half-semimetallicity in ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions. (a) Atomic structure of a hydrogen-terminated ZGNR of width w = 1.4 nm, which is periodic and infinite in the vertical direction. (b) Band structure of the hydrogen-terminated ZGNR shown in (a). Red and blue lines denote α- and β-spin bands, respectively; energies are referenced to the Fermi level (E_F). (c) Band gaps (Δ) of hydrogen-terminated ZGNRs as a function of the width (w). (d) Atomic structure of a ZGNR/hBN heterojunction comprising a ZGNR of width w = 1.4 nm embedded in a two-dimensional hBN matrix. (e) Band structure of the ZGNR/hBN heterojunction shown in (d). (f) Band gaps (Δ) of the ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions as a function of the ZGNR width (w). The insets in (c) and (f) show the spin density of a hydrogen-terminated ZGNR and a ZGNR/hBN heterojunction, respectively, for w = 1.4 nm. Red and blue contours represent α- and β-spin density, respectively.
the width of the nanoribbon embedded in hBN. Hence, ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions host an unconventional Dirac half-semimetallic antiferromagnetic phase,\(^{39}\) where a spin-split band structure, typical of ferromagnets, coexists with fully compensated magnetization, typical of antiferromagnets.\(^{58}\) We have also confirmed that this electronic phase is resistant to moderate lattice deformations (Supporting Note S3) and structural disorder at the interfaces\(^{60}\) (Supporting Note S4) in the heterojunction.

The emergence of the half-semimetallic phase in the ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions traces back to charge transfer occurring at the two interfaces between the constituent materials. In Figure 2a, we show the atomic charges residing at each carbon atom in the ZGNR/hBN heterojunction with \(w = 1.4\) nm, as obtained from an analysis based on Bader’s theory of atoms in molecules.\(^{59}\) Carbon atoms on the edges of the nanoribbon are negatively charged when bonded to boron atoms and positively charged when bonded to nitrogen atoms. This is a consequence of the difference in electronegativity between these elements.\(^{56}\) Because of the formation of an array of pointlike charges of opposite polarity at the spatially separated edges, a built-in electric field develops in the in-plane direction perpendicular to the periodic direction of the heterojunction. In Figure 2b,c, we show the electronic density of states projected onto the edge carbon atoms. The total density of states is given in Supporting Note S5. The half-semimetallicity arises from the energy shifts of opposite signs caused by the built-in electric field, which lifts the degeneracy of the localized edge states. Specifically, the negatively charged edge of the ZGNR shifts the \(\alpha\)-spin valence band and \(\beta\)-spin conduction band toward higher energies, whereas the positively charged edge shifts the \(\alpha\)-spin conduction band and \(\beta\)-spin valence band toward lower energies. Consequently, the energy gap of the \(\alpha\)-spin closes. This is qualitatively analogous to previously investigated external field effects in hydrogen-terminated armchair\(^{35,63-66}\) and zigzag graphene nanoribbons,\(^{25}\) but here the behavior is driven by intrinsic charge transfer resulting from embedding the ZGNR in a two-dimensional hBN matrix. Our results are consistent with previous calculations performed on superlattices consisting of alternating graphene and hexagonal boron nitride nanoribbons\(^{50,51,54,55}\) and lend support to the experimental observation of a finite electronic conductance at the Fermi level in these heterojunctions.\(^{49}\)

Importantly, the half-semimetallic phase arises only when the opposite zigzag edges of the embedded nanoribbon are attached to boron and nitrogen atoms. For zigzag nanoribbons, the termination of opposite edges in hBN with either boron or nitrogen atoms results in a spin-degenerate metallic phase (Supporting Note S6), whereas for armchair nanoribbons, a semiconducting phase occurs (Supporting Note S7).

To gain insight into the origin of the half-semimetallicity in ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions and further elucidate the role of charge transfer in the magnetic states at the zigzag edges, we developed a simple and intuitive model restricted to the \(\pi\)-electron network of ZGNRs. This model is described by the following mean-field Hubbard model (MFHM) Hamiltonian\(^{54,59,62}\)

\[
\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} \left[ \hat{c}_{i \sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j \sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right] + \sum_i \epsilon_i \hat{n}_{i \sigma} + U \sum_i \left[ \hat{n}_{i \uparrow} \hat{n}_{i \downarrow} + \left( \hat{n}_{i \uparrow} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{n}_{i \downarrow} \right)^2 \right]
\]

(1)

where \(\hat{c}_{i \sigma}\) and \(\hat{c}_{i \sigma}^\dagger\) are the annihilation and creation operators, respectively, for a \(p_\sigma\) electron with spin \(\sigma\) at lattice site \(i\) (h.c. denotes the Hermitian conjugate), \(t\) is the hopping amplitude between nearest-neighboring lattice sites \(i\) and \(j\), \(\epsilon_i\) is the on-site potential at lattice site \(i\), \(n_{i \sigma} = \hat{n}_{i \sigma} + \hat{n}_{i \sigma}^\dagger\) is the spin density at lattice site \(i\), and \(U\) is the strength of the on-site Coulomb repulsion between a pair of \(p_\sigma\) electrons residing at the same lattice site. We use \(U = t\) in line with earlier \textit{ab initio} calculations\(^{60}\) and experimental observations on sp\(^2\)-hybridized carbon chains.\(^{67}\) To emulate the charge transfer of opposite signs at the interfaces between hBN and ZGNR in the actual heterojunction, we set \(\epsilon = \epsilon_1 > 0\) along the sites forming one edge, \(\epsilon = \epsilon_2 < 0\) along the sites forming the other edge, and \(\epsilon = 0\) otherwise. Our model is sketched in Figure 3a. Further details concerning the solution of the mean-field Hubbard Hamiltonian are provided in Supporting Notes S8–S10.

Using this model Hamiltonian, we track the evolution of the spin-dependent energy gap as a function of \(\epsilon_1\) and \(\epsilon_2\). This allowed us to derive the electronic phase diagram shown in Figure 3b. Depending on the magnitude of the charge residing at the edge carbon atoms in the ZGNRs, we identify three phases, all of them with zero net magnetization: (i) a spin-degenerate semiconducting phase at \(\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0\), with finite and equal \(\alpha\)-spin and \(\beta\)-spin energy gaps (see Figure 3c), (ii) a spin-split semiconducting phase at \(\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = 0.1t\), with a
Figure 3. Interplay between magnetic edge states and charge transfer in ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions. (a) Schematic representation of the mean-field Hubbard model used to describe a ZGNR embedded in hBN. Black, red, and blue dots denote lattice sites with on-site potentials of $e = 0$, $e = e_1$, and $e = -e_2$, respectively, $t$ is the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, and $U$ is the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy of a pair of $p_z$ electrons residing at the same lattice site. (b) Electronic phase diagram of ZGNR as a function of on-site potentials ($e_1$ and $e_2$) on the edges. Red and blue shaded areas correspond to semiconducting and half-semimetallic phases, respectively. Representative band structures of ZGNR at (c) $e_1 = e_2 = 0$, (d) $e_1 = -e_2 = 0.1t$, and (e) $e_1 = -e_2 = 0.2t$. Red and blue lines denote $\alpha$-spin and $\beta$-spin energy bands, respectively. (f) Energy gaps ($\Delta$) and magnetic moments per carbon atom on the edge ($M$) as a function of on-site potentials $e_1 = -e_2$. In all of the panels, the width of the nanoribbon is $w = 1.4$ nm.

finite $\alpha$-spin energy gap that is smaller than the $\beta$-spin energy gap (see Figure 3d), and (iii) a half-semimetallic phase at $e_1 = -e_2 = 0.2t$, with a vanishing $\alpha$-spin energy gap and finite $\beta$-spin energy gap (see Figure 3e). The full phase diagram for both positive and negative $e_1$ and $e_2$ is given in Supporting Note S11.

Although we focus on the representative ZGNR of width $w = 1.4$ nm, the phase diagram shown in Figure 3b is found to be independent of $w$. This is explained by the fact that the built-in electric field induced by boron and nitrogen atoms terminating the opposite edges of the ZGNR in the heterojunction scales inversely with the width and so does the energy gap of the nanoribbon (cf. Figure 1c). Owing to this, the critical potential required to close the energy gap for one spin orientation is width-independent and is given by $(e_1 + a)^2 + (e_2 - a)^2 = b^2$, where $a = 0.19t$ and $b = 0.45t$. Thus, the charge transfer from hBN to ZGNR is large enough to enforce the Dirac half-semimetallicity in ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions at any nanoribbon width (cf. Figure 1f). Notably, the phase diagram in Figure 3b indicates that a half-metallic phase in the nanoribbon can appear when the potential is exerted only on one of the two edges of the nanoribbon. However, as further corroborated by our ab initio results (Supporting Note S12), a single interface between ZGNR and hBN is not sufficient to induce the Dirac half-semimetallic phase.32

As shown in Figure 3f, the onset of the half-semimetallic phase is accompanied by an abrupt decrease in the magnetic moments on the edge atoms from 0.26 to 0.07 $\mu_B$, consistent with the ab initio calculations previously discussed. This reduction of the magnetization can be understood from the electronic densities of states in Figure 2c: the energy gap closing results in a transfer of a fraction of $\alpha$-spin electrons to the edge occupied by $\beta$-spin electrons, thereby partially quenching the magnetic moment on both edges.

The electronic and magnetic properties of the ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions can be extensively modified through charge doping. In Figure 4a, we show the evolution of the band structure of a representative heterojunction as a function of excess charge $q$. The half-metallic character of the heterojunction is robust with respect to both p-type ($q > 0$) and n-type ($q < 0$) doping, as only $\alpha$-spin energy bands arise at the Fermi level. However, a nontrivial effect is observed in $\beta$-spin energy bands upon doping, which is not limited to a rigid energy shift. Specifically, n-type (p-type) doping selectively shifts the $\beta$-spin conduction (valence) bands toward the Fermi level, while leaving the position of the valence (conduction) bands unchanged. This occurs because the excess charge alters only the density of $\alpha$-spin states, which affects the electron–electron repulsion experienced by $\beta$-spin states but not vice versa.

Because the excess charge only affects the density of $\alpha$-spin states, doped ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions acquire nonvanishing net magnetic moments due to the resulting imbalance between the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-spin states, as shown in Figure 4b. Remarkably, depending on whether the heterojunction is n- or p-doped, such net magnetic moments develop on either one edge or the other edge of the nanoribbon. According to the diagram of the electronic density of states given in Figure 2c, the valence (conduction) band of the $\alpha$-spin electrons is localized on the left, boron-terminated edge (right, nitrogen-terminated edge) of the nanoribbon. Hence, p-type (n-type) doping increases the magnetic moments residing at the left (right) edge, thus enabling electrical modulation of their spatial localization. This effect is well captured by both ab initio and mean-field Hubbard model calculations.

Overall, as depicted in Figure 4c, charge doping in ZGNR/hBN heterojunctions drives a transition from an antiferromagnetic ground state, where the magnetic moments at the two edges of the nanoribbon feature an antiparallel orientation and equal magnitude, to a ferromagnetic ground state. In the latter state, the magnetic moments at the edges of the nanoribbon retain an antiparallel orientation, but their difference in
magnitude results in a global spin polarization. This is opposed to hydrogen-terminated ZGNRs, where the antiferromagnetic and spin-degenerate ground state is unchanged upon charge doping due to the spin-degeneracy of the band structure (Supporting Note S13). Embedding ZGNRs into an hBN matrix is thus an effective strategy to achieve the electrical control of their magnetism.

In summary, we investigated the electronic structure of recently fabricated heterojunctions consisting of zigzag graphene nanoribbons embedded in hexagonal boron nitride. We predict that these heterojunctions host a peculiar combination of Dirac half-semimetallicity and antiferromagnetism, where a fully compensated magnetization coexists with a spin-polarization of the charge carriers that arises from a Dirac semimetallic behavior in one spin orientation and a semiconducting behavior in the other. This unconventional electronic phase originates from the charge transfer of opposite polarity at the edges of the zigzag graphene nanoribbon, which, in turn, leads to an energy shift of opposite signs of the otherwise spin-degenerate edge states. We have additionally shown that charge doping induces an antiferromagnetic-to-ferrimagnetic phase transition in these heterojunctions, where the net magnetic moments can be spatially modulated through the sign of the excess charge. To conclude, our findings unveil novel and highly tunable functionalities in zigzag graphene nanoribbon/hexagonal boron nitride heterojunctions emerging from an intriguing interplay between charge and spin degrees of freedom, with potential implications for integrated carbon-based spintronic devices where completely spin-polarized electrical currents are desirable.
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